Adiabaticity study - update

D. Kelliher 23/01/2020

Aim of Study

- Study the effect of varying the longitudinal parameters on the longitudinal distribution.
- We expect that if the transition is adiabatic the longitudinal emittance should be preserved.
- If the adiabaticity parameter, ε, is sufficiently low (~0.1), a distribution which is initially at equilibrium will remain in equilibrium.
- Questions to address
 - is the onset of emittance growth a threshold effect?
 - Can we establish an equation for emittance growth as a function of adiabaticity?

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{\omega_s^2} \left| \frac{d\omega_s}{dt} \right|$$

Proposed Experiment (adapted from 15/10/2019)

Aim: Experimentally measure the dependence of longitudinal emittance growth on the adiabatic parameter.

- Accelerate with usual settings until some point where beam has escaped foil and the emittance has reached an equilibrium.
- Ramp to zero phi_s over a range of turns. At the same time, ramp the voltage to preserve the bucket area.
 Note: the flat top energy varies with number of turns.
- Maintain flattop for many synchrotron oscillations.
- Use raw bunch monitor data or tomography to measure emittance blow up if any.

Specifying transition settings

 Ramp phi_s from 20 deg to 0 in varying number of turns while adjusting the voltage to keep the bucket area constant.



Data summary



- Data taken before transition and at two later times in the flat top (about 50 and 100 synchrotron oscillations later).
- Triggers times of data acquisition: 6.162ms, 6.842ms, 9.542ms, 12.242ms
- 1 sets of data taken per condition taken on 9/12, 3 sets of 12/12.

Energy calculation



- Calculate frequency of RF waveform at the start of transition and during flat top.
- Use table (svk20.dat) to convert to kinetic energy.
- Energy increase from start to end of transition roughly consistent with expected value.

Before transition

(filter applied, 9/12)



-150

-100

-50

0

waveform phase [deg]

50

100

150

-150

-100

-50

0

waveform phase [deg]

50

100

150

Transition

(filter applied, 9/12)



50 synchrotron oscillations later

(filter applied, 9/12)



0.05

0.00

-150

-100

-50

0

waveform phase [deg]

50

100

150

100

0.05

0.00

-150

-100

-50

0

waveform phase [deg]

50

100

150

9

100 synchrotron oscillations later

(filter applied, 9/12)



200

100

0.10

0.05

0.00

-150

-100

-50

0

waveform phase [deg]

50

100

150

0.10

0.05

0.00

-150

-100

-50

0

waveform phase [deg]

50

100

150

10

200

Mean FWHM



Tomography reconstruction – before transition



Tomography reconstruction – flat top 1



phi_s = 20 deg, V_rf = 2kV (based on gap voltage monitor)

Tomography reconstruction – flat top 2



phi_s = 20 deg, V_rf = 2kV (based on gap voltage monitor)

Tomography – projections of initial distribution



Flat top 1

Flat top 2

Tomography – time projections in flat top



Flat top 1

Flat top 2

Tomography – ΔE projections in flat top



Tomography – rms emittance



Comparison with simulation



• Simulation used PyHEADTAIL. Start with equilibrium distribution in with approximately same bunch length as measured distribution.