



Plan

Shinji Machida

ASTeC/STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

01 August 2013

Goal of the KURRI-FFAG experiment

- Can FFAG have similar magnitude of space charge tune shift/spread as synchrotron?
 - Resonance lines are more dense in tune space.
 - Could be large ratio between horizontal and vertical beam size.
- Can we keep large ratio of beam size to accommodate more particles?
- How space charge affects ionisation cooling?

Want to finish before doing experiments

- Modelling of capture process in longitudinal
 - maximise peak intensity to give the maximum tune shift.
- Modelling of multi-turn injection process in 3D with foil scattering.
- Modelling of space charge effects in 3D at the first few ms.
- Understanding diagnostics.
 - Beam profile measurement by scraper.

- OPAL: Suzie and Chris R.
 - 3D field map
 - 3D space charge
 - foil scattering
- Simpsons: Machida
 - 3D space charge
 - foil scattering
- (ORBIT: David)

Simpsons as an example

- Actively used for J-Parc and CERN-PS.
 - Simple scattering model (tested for ERIT) seems to work.
 - Simply I did not spend time for KURRI modelling.

Plan before Cyclotron conference

- A paper about this collaboration
 - Aim
 - Present status of KURRI-FFAG
 - Intensity, beam loss, available diagnostics, etc
 - Experiment proposal
 - Procedure
 - Modelling
 - OPAL, Simpsons, (ORBIT)

Plan after Cyclotron conference

- Decide whether we should go ahead (Mori, Prior, Meot, Machida, others at Cyclotron conference/FFAG workshop).
- A couple of weeks long beam time between October and December 2013.