

# Beam size at foil position rev.2

Shinji Machida ASTeC/STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory 25 February 2014

### Note on 25 Feb 2014 (1)

Mori&Okabe's note on 01/12/2011 specifies rms unnorm emittance is e\_x,y=8 pi mm mrad rms energy spread is +/- 1%

Therefore rms beam size without dispersion is x=4.8 mm and y=4.6 mm

This is consistent with observed half beam size of ~10 mm.

rms momentum spread of dp/p=(1/2)dT/T=0.5x10<sup>-2</sup> is also consistent with observed momentum spread of 1.3x10<sup>-2</sup>.



# Note on 25 Feb 2014 (2)

Only my naive remaining question is why the specification of linac beam parameters changes from Okabe@FFAG11 (Sep 11) to Mori&Okabe note (Dec 11)

H emit (unnor.): 4.4 (90%) -> 8 (rms) or 32 (90%) V emit (unnor.): 4.1 (90%) -> 8 (rms) or 32 (90%) \Delta T : 45 keV (90%) -> 110 keV (rms)

or 220 keV (90%)



#### The rest is the original version.



According to Ishi-san's presentation at FFAG13 beta\_x = 2.9 m and beta\_y = 2.6 m at foil.

According to Okabe-san's presentation at FFAG11 90% norm emittance is  $e_x=0.68$  pi mm mrad and  $e_y=0.63$  pi mm mrad.

Therefore 90% beam size without dispersion is x=3.5 mm and y=3.2 mm

If observed half beam size is ~10 mm, there is a factor of 3 in beam size difference and the linac emittance is about 10 times larger than specification.

According to Okabe-san's presentation at FFAG11 90% delta T=45 keV.

Therefore dp/p= $2 \times 10^{-3}$ .

If observed dp/p=1.3 x  $10^{-2}$ , there is a factor of 6 difference.

6



I just wonder

- 1. Linac beam is simply worse than expected.
- 2. Linac beam is not well tuned.
- 3. Beam transport line introduces large aberration.
- 4. Measurement at foil does not give you accurate size.

I am still hoping coherent oscillations could be measured in both horizontal and vertical directions.



### Ishi @FFAG13

Science & Technology Facilities Council



8

# Okabe @FFAG11

#### Spec of Linac + H<sup>-</sup> Ion Source

9



Linac beam parameter Ion : H-E<sub>ext</sub> : IIMeV Beam Pulse width(MAX) : 100 µsec Peak Curr.(MAX) :~5 mA :~3.12\*10<sup>12</sup>[ppp] (Present injector) : ~6.00\*10<sup>8</sup>[ppp] rep. rate : I Hz~200Hz Horizontal norm. emittance (90%) : 0.680 mm•mrad Vertical norm. emittance (90%): 0.630 mm mrad Ene. 90% :  $\Delta E \sim 45 \text{KeV}$ 



Tuesday, 25 February 2014

#### 01/12/2011

Y.Mori, K.Okabe

Emittance growth caused by multiple scattering with carbon foil at beam injection

Thickness of C-foil : 20 micro-gram/m<sup>2</sup>

Energy : 11MeV

Emittance : 8 mm.mrad (unnormalized rms)

Energy spread : +- 1% (rms)

Bunching factor : ~0.3

f rf = 20 MHz (h=5)

#### Mori&Okabe note 01/12/2011



Horizontal emittance

