

Closed Orbit Measurement




Analysis of Bunch Monitor Data

S. L. Sheehy

13/11/2013_2

Experimental Setup 13/11/13

1. No RF cavity present
2. Radial probe in centre of straight section
3. Vary position of probe in 5mm steps
4. Record signal from parallel bunch monitor (located after injection foil)




Data smoothing

Data is quite jittery making peak-finding difficult. Gaussian smoothing applied.

Can control degree of smoothing by choosing how wide the convolving gaussian is. (I used 10, seems reasonable...)

Code snippet explained here: <http://www.swharden.com/blog/2008-11-17-linear-data-smoothing-in-python/>


For more methods, see here: <http://wiki.scipy.org/Cookbook/SignalSmooth>



(note: absolute value of data plotted here for first few turns...)


Peak finding

1. Method: take derivative of (smoothed) data [in python: `diff(data)`]
2. Find sign of gradient (increasing/decreasing)
3. Find where the gradient of the sign changes
4. Take the downward crossings only (gives maxima rather than all extrema)
5. Store array indices



I use a threshold of $2.5 * \text{mean}(\text{data})$ so I don't get lots of tiny peaks!

Closed orbit measurement




After finding peaks:

Existing method: Take 10th turn height

Normalise wrt. 0th turn height

Plot against probe position



After finding peaks:

Take mean height of first 10 turns


Normalise wrt. 0th turn

Plot against probe position

(Error is just the variance)

Using peaks 3-10

- Error bars plotted from variance (very small!)
- Simple linear fit gives CO position = 233.8 mm
 - Not much point doing a weighted fit as it would just give CO = 235 mm?
 - I think most accurate result is probably just from experimental accuracy
- Error sources: 1mm smallest step on scale, uncertainty in flange position ~ 1mm



Fit result = 233.8 mm

Best estimate = 235 ± 2 mm

Orbit shift with D magnet current

Analysis of Bunch Monitor Data

S. L. Sheehy

13/11/2013_3 Data


Experimental Method

- Keep radial probe at 245mm
- Vary D magnet current
- See how much the response varies (due to orbit shift)
- This will only give a change in BPM response (can't currently translate this to actual position!)

Detail... how many turns to use for analysis?

Response vs. B current gradient

Analysis using each of peak 1-20

 The image cannot be displayed. Your computer may not have enough memory to open the image, or the image may have been corrupted. Restart your computer, and then open the file again. If the red x still appears, you may have to delete the image and then insert it again.



 The image cannot be displayed. Your computer may not have enough memory to open the image, or the image may have been corrupted. Restart your computer, and then open the file again. If the red x still appears, you may have to delete the image and then insert it again.

Normalised wrt value at 995A

So in fact existing method taking turn 10 is pretty good...
this is where the response gradient 'flattens out'

BPM Response vs D strength

Using turn 3-10



Very similar response if done using 10th turn height



Tune Measurement

Analysis of Bunch Monitor Data

S. L. Sheehy



Experiment 13/11/2013

Method




LEFT: sum signal from double plate bunch monitor
located just after injection
RIGHT: single plate monitor
located further round the ring

FFT




FFT of raw 'hebi' data
(power spectrum)
Log scale (vertical)
Plotted only in low frequency range
Main peaks at $h \cdot F_{rev}$



13/11/2013

RF-Free Lattice Tune Measurement





Betatron sidebands?
Tough to find...?

1. De-trend data as per:

<http://docs.scipy.org/doc/scipy/reference/generated/scipy.signal.detrend.html>

Filtering?

- Used various filters on the raw data before taking FFT:
 - BB = bandwidth filter
 - 200Hz – 10000Hz (4th order)
 - SG = removes high freq noise
 - Using 4th order polynomial
 - Gaussian smoothing (convolved with data)
- As I suspected, this turns out not to be so useful... !



I am working on this...

